United States Court of Appeals

For the Fighth Circuit

	Joe the Eighth Cittuit	
	No. 17-3781	
_	United States of America,	
	Plaintiff - Appellee,	
	V.	
	Jason August Eisenach,	
	Defendant - Appellant	
1.1	eal from United States District Cou the District of Minnesota - St. Pau	
	Submitted: October 5, 2018 Filed: October 16, 2018 [Unpublished]	
Before LOKEN, COLLO	TON, and STRAS, Circuit Judges.	
PER CURIAM.		
	peals the sentence imposed by the mography offenses. Eisenach's cou	

¹The Honorable David S. Doty, United States District Judge for the District of Minnesota.

and filed a brief under *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), challenging the sentence as substantively unreasonable.

We conclude that the district court did not impose an unreasonable sentence. The sentence was below the advisory guideline range. The court properly considered the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), and there is no indication that the court committed a clear error of judgment in weighing relevant factors. *See United States v. Salazar-Aleman*, 741 F.3d 878, 881 (8th Cir. 2013) (standard of review); *see also United States v. Torres-Ojeda*, 829 F.3d 1027, 1030 (8th Cir. 2016).

Having independently reviewed the record under *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no nonfrivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we grant counsel's motion and affirm.